Consumer Education Project of Milk SA CEU Activity 2020 Article 11



# Dietary and policy priorities to reduce the global crises of obesity and diabetes

### **Dariush Mozaffarian**

The world faces a global nutrition crisis, most clearly evidenced by the twin pandemics of obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2DM). Yet, substantial confusion and controversy exist about optimal dietary priorities and policy approaches to address these challenges. This paper reviews the evolution of nutritional evidence, emerging areas and corresponding policy lessons to address obesity and T2DM. This includes the complexity of diet-health pathways for long-term weight maintenance and metabolic health; a need to focus on both increasing protective foods (for example, minimally processed, phytochemical-rich foods) and reducing detrimental factors (for example, refined starches, added sugars and processed meats); and critical assessment of popular diets for weight-loss and metabolic health. Emerging evidence highlights areas for further research, including those related to food processing, non-nutritive sweeteners, emulsifiers, the microbiome, flavonoids and personalized nutrition. Evidence-based, multi-sectoral policy actions to address the global nutrition crisis are shown to span several domains, including health systems, economic incentives, school and workplace environments, quality and labelling standards, and innovation and entrepreneurship.

he 'double b urden' o f un dernutrition a nd c hronic di seases causes enormous e conomic losses and lost human potential across the lifespan<sup>1</sup>. Globally, poor nutrition is responsible for 41% of all deaths (3.2 million per year from child and maternal un dernutrition, 10.9 mi llion p er y ear f rom c hronic di seases) and 48% of lost quality-adjusted life years (327 and 255 billion per year, respectively)<sup>2,3</sup>. The food system also exacerbates diet-related health disparities, creating a vicious cycle of illness, poor work and school performance, and stunted potential<sup>4</sup>. The food sector causes 25% of greenhouse gas emissions, 32% of global energy use, 69% of freshwater consumption, 80% of deforestation, and loss of resilience of our soil and oceans<sup>5-8</sup>. The scope of these health, economic, equity and sustainability impacts are staggering-yet have remained under-recognized or accepted as status quo by governments, the public, health systems and businesses. This lack of prioritization is, however, rapidly changing-at least partly driven by recognition of the escalating health and economic costs of diet-related obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2DM). Since 1980, the number of adults with obesity has increased from 100 million to 671 million worldwide; and with T2DM, from 108 million to 422 million<sup>9,10</sup>. This is a global phenomenon: not a single nation worldwide has experienced a decline in obesity or T2DM; prevalence of T2DM in J apan (8.4%), I ndia (9.1%) and China (9.9%) exceeds that of the United States  $(8.2\%)^{10}$ ; and 55% of the rise in adi posity globally (80% in s ome low- and middle-income r egions) i s d ue t o in creases in r ural, n ot urb an, areas11. Left unchecked, these twin global pandemics will decimate population health, economic productivity and health-system capacity worldwide.

While the importance of good nutrition for health and curbing diet-related di sease is a ppreciated, m any people a re confused about w hat constitutes a h ealthy diet. L ike other scientific fields, nutritional science is rapidly evolving, with continuously improving methods and an increasing evidence-base<sup>12</sup>. Unlike many fields, these scientific advances in nutrition combine with deep personal and s ociocultural o verlays a nd conflicting inf ormation s ources, intensifying scepticism and confusion. In addition, this evolution has occurred over less than 100 years<sup>13</sup>. The first half of the twentieth century was marked by discovery and synthesis of all the major vitamins, do cumentation of their roles in n utrient deficiency diseases, and recognition of a growing global population that required massive in creases in f ood p roduction. T ogether with t he f ood shortages of the Great Depression and World War II, these scientific advances converged to emphasize the role of food as a delivery vehicle for s elected vitamins and s taple c alories. Th e s ubsequent Green Revolution<sup>14</sup> intentionally crafted a m odern food system to maximize inexpensive commodity crops and their derivative shelfstable, s tarch-rich, v itamin-fortified f oods. Th e s uccesses of t his approach should not be understated, in cluding remarkable reductions in global hunger and classical nutrient-deficiency diseases.

It was not until the 1980s that nutrition science and policy began to meaningfully recognize and turn toward chronic diseases. The previous reductionist strategy, so successful for nutrient-deficiency diseases, was n aturally ext ended—for exa mple, cr eating i solated focus on total fat, saturated fat and sugar. However, in the past two decades, an explosion of new studies and methodologies dem onstrate t hat s pecific f oods a nd diet q uality, ra ther t han n utrientfocused metrics, are most relevant for addressing chronic diseases like obesity and T2DM. This evolution of modern nutrition science clarifies much about the state of the field today, including the current directions of nutritional research, guidelines, policies, and areas of debate and confusion.

This p aper r eviews evidence, em erging a reas and corresponding lessons for modern dietary and policy priorities to address obesity and T2DM. Given the scope of these issues, this Review is not intended to be exhaustive, but a synthesis of key relevant topics.

#### Diet quality versus diet quantity

A simplistic focus on calorie counting may achieve some success, but does not account for the complex interplay of foods and dietary patterns, on long-term weight control and metabolic health. Foods should be considered as not merely energy, but information—biologic in puts t o multiple p athways t hat h elp o r hin der t he b ody's

Friedman School of Nutrition Science & Policy, Tufts University, Boston, MA, USA. e-mail: dariush.mozaffarian@tufts.edu



**Fig. 1** | Nutrition-related biologic pathways for weight and metabolic health. Diverse aspects of the diet influence numerous risk factors, making it important to consider multiple pathways as well as clinical outcomes when making conclusions and recommendations about different foods. Reproduced from ref. <sup>24</sup>, AHA.

diverse and overlapping pathways for long-term weight control. In other words, diet quality influences energy consumption and weight gain<sup>15–20</sup>. In one controlled m etabolic unit t rial, the availability of highly processed foods, compared to minim ally processed foods, resulted in s ubstantially g reater ad li bitum en ergy in take (+508 kcal d<sup>-1</sup>)—even when diets were otherwise matched in available en ergy, m acronutrients, en ergy den sity, s ugar, s odium a nd fibre—and, over just two weeks, the highly processed foods resulted in 0.9 kg s pontaneous weight gain, while the minimally processed foods led to 0.9 kg spontaneous weight loss<sup>20</sup>.

Diet q uality a lso inf luences en ergy exp enditure<sup>21,22</sup>. I n a co ntrolled f eeding t rial a mong o verweight ad ults w ho h ad ac hieved 12% initial weight loss, total energy expenditure after 20 weeks was nearly 100 k cal d<sup>-1</sup> hig her o n a m oderate c arbohydrate diet a nd more than 200 kcal d<sup>-1</sup> higher on a low carbohydrate diet, compared to a high carbohydrate diet<sup>22</sup>. These differences were largest among those with higher insulin secretion at baseline, supporting the relevance o f c arbohydrate h andling a nd s ensitivity in t hese ef fects. Explanatory m echanisms require further study and could in clude insulin-induced p artitioning of m etabolic fuels away from oxidation (and heat production) and toward storage (in adipose tissue); changes in brown fat metabolism (and subsequent heat generation); and alterations in microbiome composition, mass, nutrient utilization and thermogenesis.

Thus, diet q uality appears to be a m ajor determinant of longterm diet quantity, suggesting that long-term obesogenic effects of foods cannot be judged on the basis of caloric content alone, but also physiologic and metabolic effects that drive subsequent longterm energy intake and expenditure. In addition, diet quality influences health through a diversity of physiologic effects and biologic pathways beyond obesity (Fig. 1)<sup>23–26</sup>. While the global obesity pandemic has appropriately highlighted the central role of nutrition in health, a focus on obesity as the most relevant endpoint misses the many other health consequences of diet ary habits—obesity is just one mediating pathway. Rather than diet quantity or obesity alone, the primary targets and metrics of success for clinical and population actions on nutrition should be overall diet quality and health.

#### Complexity and pleiotropic effects of foods

For much of its history, nutrition science leveraged a r eductionist strategy t hat em phasized i solated n utrients a nd t heir im pact o n

represent complex matrices of nutrients, ingredients and processing characteristics, each with pleotropic effects on vascular, hepatic, adipocyte, p ancreatic, c ardiac, in testinal a nd b rain t issues. F or example, while diet ary fats are commonly considered as concentrated sources of energy, they are also highly physiologically active molecules, regulating gene transcription, altering the structure and function of cellular membranes, modifying ion channel activity and electrophysiology, a nd inf luencing n umerous inf lammatory a nd other p athways t hrough t heir do wnstream m etabolites<sup>23,26</sup>. Th ese complex p hysiologic effects do n ot fit n eatly within the conventional nutritional classification of fats as saturated, monounsaturated or polyunsaturated, due to additional structural and biologic differences among fatty acids within these groups. Health effects of dietary fats appear to further vary depending on the specific food source, further complicating simplistic predictions of their potential effects on obesity, T2DM and related health outcomes<sup>26,27</sup>.

single diseases or pathways<sup>13</sup>. Scientific advances make clear that foods

As another example, thousands of different trace phytonutrients are n ow b eing do cumented in f oods, in cluding m ore t han 5,000 flavonoids with wide-ranging molecular and physiologic effects (Fig. 2; also see 'Flavonoids', below), which separately and together may contribute to health effects of co coa, tea, coffee, fruits, nuts, seeds, vegetables, beans and their oils<sup>25</sup>. Similarly, metabolic effects of dairy foods have generally been considered in relation to a limited set of nutrients, such as total saturated fat, calcium and vitamin D, and a limited set of pathways, such as blood cholesterol and bone health. Yet, diverse compounds in the matrix of dairy influence a wide range of molecular and physiologic pathways<sup>25</sup>. Further complexity is evidenced in emerging areas of nutrition science related to the gut micr obiome, food processing and personalized nutrition. Together, these scientific advances highlight new, food-based dietary priorities to reduce risk of obesity and T2DM, as further described in the sections below.

#### Dietary priorities and protective foods

The c urrent e vidence in dicates t hat a m aximally b eneficial diet pattern incorporates high intake of minimally processed, bioactive foods like fruits, nuts, seeds, non-starchy vegetables, beans/legumes, oils from these plants, whole grains, yogurt and fish; m oderation in un processed red meats, p oultry, eggs and milk; and a voidance of refined starches and sugars, processed meats, and other highly



**Fig. 2** | Selected physiologic pathways and molecular mechanisms for metabolic effects of flavonoids. These diverse compounds and their emerging complexities are likely to contribute to several of the metabolic benefits of minimally processed, phytochemical rich foods. AMPK, 5' AMP-activated protein kinase; ERK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2; eNOS, endothelial nitric oxide synthase; GLUT4, glucose transporter type 4; IRS2, insulin receptor substrate-2; MAPK, mitogen activated protein kinase; NF- $\kappa$ B, nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B; PGC-1 $\alpha$ , peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$  coactivator-1 $\alpha$ ; PKA; protein kinase-A; PPAR- $\alpha/\gamma$ , peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\alpha/\gamma$ ; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SREBP-1c, sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1c; TG, triglycerides; and TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4. Reproduced from ref. <sup>25</sup>, AHA.

processed f oods hig h in s odium, adde d s ugars o r t rans-fat (Fig. 3)<sup>24,28</sup>. While no simple label can incorporate all the relevant characteristics of t his maximally beneficial diet p attern, the most straightforward description may be a high-fat, Mediterranean-type diet emphasizing minimally processed, phytonutrient-rich foods.

Such a diet ary p attern p romotes w eight m aintenance—fruits, non-starchy vegetables, nuts, beans, yogurt, fish and whole grains each a ppear t o p rotect a gainst c hronic w eight ga in: t he m ore of these f oods co nsumed, the lower the average weight ga in<sup>15,17–19</sup>. In contrast, in creased in takes of refined grains and sugars, sugarsweetened beverages (SSBs), potatoes, processed meats and unprocessed red meats each associate with long-term weight ga in<sup>15,17–19</sup>. Consistent w ith t his o bservational e vidence, in controlled t rials Mediterranean diet patterns produce significant weight loss and reduced visceral adiposity<sup>29–31</sup>.

Such minimally processed, bioactive foods are also consistently linked to better cardiometabolic outcomes<sup>28</sup>. In the large Women's Health Initiative, women who consumed healthier overall diet patterns rich in protective foods experienced significantly lower risk of T2DM<sup>32</sup>. In contrast, the randomized low-fat intervention did not reduce onset of T2DM or improve insulin resistance over 8.5 years<sup>33</sup>. These observed long-term benefits are supported by controlled trials utilizing dietary patterns rich in these foods<sup>24,34</sup>. For example, in the PREDIMED clinical trial, participants assigned to Mediterranean-type diets supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or mixed nuts had less visceral adiposity and lower incidence of T2DM and cardiovascular disease, compared with a control lowfat diet<sup>31,35,36</sup>.

While effects of specific subcategories of protective foods are less well established, those richest in phytochemicals (for example, nuts, berries and virgin olive oil) appear to be particularly potent. For example, a meta-analysis of controlled trials of tree nuts or peanuts identified favourable effects on insulin resistance and fasting insulin, although not statistically significant changes in HbA1c (glycated haemoglobin) or fasting glucose<sup>37</sup>. A m eta-analysis of controlled trials of b erries found m odest b ut sig nificant im provements in HbA1C, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol and tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha^{38}$ . Similarly,



foods appear protective, relatively neutral or harmful for obesity and T2DM. An interesting central feature of many protective foods is their role in germinating new plant life—that is, fruits, nuts, seeds, beans, whole grains and many 'vegetables' that are actually fruits (such as tomatoes, cucumbers, olives, squash, eggplant, peppers). The myriad of phytonutrients in these foods, jointly evolved and optimized to nurture and support new life, may be relevant to humans for optimal development and aging. Other characteristics of certain protective foods—for example, probiotics in yogurt or long-chain omega-3 fats in fish—likely contribute to their health benefits. Adapted from ref. <sup>24</sup>, AHA

a meta-analysis of controlled trials supports glycaemic benefits of extra-virgin olive oil, compared with various control fats or low-fat diets, on fasting glucose and HbA1c in diabetic patients<sup>39</sup>.

**Carbohydrate quality.** As a proportion of the diet, refined starches and s ugars f rom p rocessed f oods r epresent o ne o f t he l argest global c hallenges for o besity and T2D M. Major s ources in clude white b read, w hite r ice, w hite p otatoes, b reakfast cer eals a nd crackers, r efined p astas, c hips a nd f ries, s oda, c andy, m uffins and sweet b akery products. Across diverse foods and beverages, those r ichest in s tarches and sugars most strongly associate with long-term weight gain<sup>15</sup> and T2DM risk<sup>40</sup>. Together with evidence from m etabolic f eeding s tudies on h arms of p rocessed, ra pidly digestible carbohydrates<sup>41</sup>, and interventional trials demonstrating substantial weight loss and improved glycaemia on low-carbohydrate (lo w-carb) diets <sup>42-44</sup>, t hese f indings m ake c lear t hat p oorquality carbohydrates should be avoided to optimize weight and metabolic health.

Long-term h ealth ef fects o f sim ple a nd r efined co mplex c arbohydrates in f oods a ppear simi larly ad verse<sup>15,24,45</sup>. B oth a re ra pidly digested and produce very similar dose-dependent glycaemic responses. These similarities are consistent with adverse metabolic associations o f hig h-glycaemic-load diets <sup>40</sup>. Th us, f rom a h ealth perspective, r efined co mplex c arbohydrate (t hat i s, s tarch, w hich is essentially 100% g lucose) may be considered similar to 'hidden sugar'—pervasive and in sidious in t he global food s upply. A dded sugars in b everages a ppear e ven m ore de leterious, w ith ad verse effects on weight gain and, independently, body composition, fatty liver and T2DM, perhaps owing to a combination of large portion

## **Box 1** | Factors that jointly improve carbohydrate quality for metabolic health

- Lower absolute doses of reἀned starch and/or added sugar.
- Lower flux of c arbohydrate (t hat i s, s lower dig estion a nd absorption, f or exa mple a s m easured b y g lycaemic lo ad), based on less processing and more intact food structure, which s hields t he in trinsic c arbohydrate f rom dig estive enzymes. This lo w flux dimini shes p ostprandial s pikes in blood g lucose, in sulin a nd o ther co unter-regulatory h ormones; and reduces h epatic de novo lipogenesis and accumulation of visceral fat.
- Higher dietary åbre, including foods providing soluble (for example, from barley, beans, legumes, oats, nuts, seeds, and certain f ruits a nd v egetables) a nd in soluble (f or exa mple, from wheat, other whole grains and certain vegetables) åbre.
- Higher le vels o f p rotective p hytochemicals (f or exa mple, flavonoids, other phenolics and vitamins), such as in fruits, vegetables and beans.
- More w hole-grain co ntent, p roviding b ran a nd g erm, a nd their àbre, minerals and fatty acids.
- Less milling/reàning and more intact food structure, which reduces c arbohydrate flux a nd m ay a ugment de livery o f nutrients to the gut microbiome.
- Avoidance of liquid added sugars, such as sugar-sweetened sodas and energy drinks, that provide little to no nutritional value.
- Replacement of other, more highly processed carbohydraterich f oods, w hich h ave co rrespondingly ad verse effects related to each of the pathways above.

sizes, rapid intake patterns and limited effects on satiety<sup>24</sup>. Yet, not all c arbohydrates s hould b e a voided. F or exa mple, f ruits, b ean, legumes, whole grains and yogurt all contain some sugar or starch, yet a re lin ked t o metabolic and c ardiovascular b enefits a s well as long-term w eight m aintenance<sup>24</sup>. Th ese b enefits a ppear r elated to a combination of factors (B ox 1), rather t han any one characteristic<sup>24,46</sup>. G lycaemic r esponses of c arbohydrates c an b e f urther mitigated by food order or mixed meals, such as by adding fats or proteins preceding or accompanying the meal, or even by a healthier long-term background diet<sup>47,48</sup>.

Foods containing whole g rains or diet ary f ibre a re a ssociated with lower risk of T2DM and weight gain<sup>24,28,46</sup>. While some of these benefits are likely related to displacement of poor-quality carbohydrates in the diet, e vidence supports additional metabolic benefits of whole grains and diet ary f ibre, s uch a s r elated t o the germ in whole grains (containing minerals, fatty acids and phytochemicals) and to microbial fermentation of dietary fibre (for example, related to production of bioactive short-chain fatty acids s uch as acet ate, butyrate and propionate)<sup>49</sup>.

Resistant s tarches a re a lso o f g rowing in terest b ut a re un derstudied. Starches can be resistant to digestion due to physical inaccessibility (for example, intact whole grains), crystalline form (for example, raw potatoes, green bananas high amylose maize), retrogradation (realignment of cooked, gelatinized starches during cooling, for example, stale bread or cold rice) or chemical modification (for example, many emulsifiers, stabilizers and thickening agents)<sup>50</sup>. Like dietary fibre, resistant starches reach the large intestine where bacterial fermentation produces short-chain fatty acids and other metabolites. Two recent meta-analyses identified only small, shortterm trials o f resistant s tarch, co nducted in mix ed p atient p opulations<sup>51,52</sup>. E valuating b ody w eight, s atiety a nd g lucose-insulin homeostasis, s ome b enefits w ere iden tified, b ut o f un certain relevance g iven t he sm all n umber o f s tudies, h eterogeneity a nd uncertain risk of bias.

Because an array of different factors may influence carbohydrate quality, there is no single accepted metric or definition of a healthy carbohydrate-rich food. Contents of total carbohydrate, soluble fibre, insoluble fibre, resistant starch, net carbs, whole grains, added sugar, glycaemic in dex and glycaemic lo ad may each be relevant but also not tell the whole story. A h olistic approach should first focus on food categories to be encouraged (for example, fruits and beans) versus avoided (such as sugar-sweetened beverages, white bread, white rice and sugary breakfast cereals). Secondarily, for distinguishing among processed and packaged foods (such as different types of commercially produced whole-grain breads, cereals, crackers, granola bars, energy bars and bakery products), the ratio of total carbohydrate to fibre is empirically useful. While not perfect, a ratio of 10:1 or lower succeeds as a practical 'rule-of-thumb' by implicitly balancing the relative proportion of starch and sugar versus whole grain, bran and added fibre<sup>53,54</sup>.

**Dietary fats.** For decades, low-fat diets and foods were the cornerstone of recommendations for weight loss and weight control. Based on multiple lines of new evidence, several organizations including the 2015 U nited S tates Diet ary G uidelines A dvisory C ommittee have concluded that evidence no longer supports any upper limit on total fat consumption<sup>34</sup>. However, other organizations like the World H ealth Organization have not y et di scarded outdated p erspectives on harms of total fat<sup>27</sup>, contributing to public and policy confusion.

Dietary fats comprise highly diverse compounds with robust and complex effects on cell m embrane s tructure and f unction, t ransmembrane receptors and ion channels, gene expression, and regulatory metabolites<sup>23,26</sup>. Health effects of fats appear further modified by the food s ource, for exa mple d ue to accompanying n utrients, food matrices, intramolecular and supramolecular lipid structures, and processing<sup>26,27,55</sup>. Consistent with this complexity, total dietary fat consumption is n ot related t or isk of T2D M (or other m ajor health outcomes) across large ranges (~20–40%) of energy<sup>56</sup>. Lowfat diets are inferior to low-carb diets for weight loss and glycaemic control<sup>42–44</sup>.

Among major fat subclasses, total saturated fat intake has similar effects on glycaemic responses as total carbohydrate57 and is not associated with risk of T2DM58. In contrast, unsaturated fats reduce both HbA1c a nd H OMA-IR (h omeostatic m odel a ssessment o f insulin resistance), whether compared to saturated fat or carbohydrate; while polyunsaturated fats further improve insulin secretion capacity<sup>57</sup>. Consistently, estimated dietary consumption and circulating blood biomarkers of lin oleic acid (t he predominant diet ary omega-6 polyunsaturated fat) are associated with lower in cidence of T2D M, with 35% lo wer r isk across t he in terquintile range of blood lin oleic acid le vels<sup>59,60</sup>. These benefits are further supported by a r ecent M endelian ra ndomization s tudy o f g enetic va riants associated with higher linoleic acid levels<sup>61</sup>. Together, these finding support the benefits of foods rich in unsaturated fats, such as nuts, seeds, avocados, and oils from fruits (for example, olive and avocado), beans (such as soybean or canola) and seeds (for example, safflower a nd g rapeseed), t o im prove g lycaemic co ntrol, r educe insulin resistance and lower risk of T2DM.

Circulating b iomarkers of d airy fa t co nsumption, in cluding both odd-chain saturated fats and a natural ruminant trans-fat, are also consistently a ssociated with lower r isk of T2DM, with about 20–35% lower r isk across their in terquintile ranges<sup>62</sup>. While s uch objective b iomarkers have several advantages, they c annot distinguish between different food sources, and such benefits could relate to other aspects of foods rich in dairy fat<sup>25</sup>.

Metabolic ef fects of o mega-3 fa tty acid s r emain un certain. I n meta-analyses of trials, seafood-derived (long-chain) omega-3 fats reduce triglycerides, heart rate and blood pressure; improve endothelial f unction; a nd in crease adi ponectin<sup>23</sup>. H owever, lo ng-chain omega-3 fats do not significantly affect glycaemia or insulin sensitivity in trials<sup>63</sup>. Prospective cohort studies generally find little to no association of long-chain omega-3 consumption from fish with risk of T2DM, except for protective associations in Asian populations<sup>64</sup>. Few trials have evaluated effects of plant-derived omega-3 fats on glucose-insulin homeostasis; and their associations with T2DM risk in observational studies remain inconsistent<sup>64</sup>.

Other min or fa tty acid s m ay inf luence r isk o f T2D M. F or instance, very long-chain s aturated fats (20 t o 24 c arbons) are of growing interest, with significant inverse associations between their circulating levels and risk of T2D M<sup>65</sup>, as well as other health outcomes. Very long-chain saturated fats can be endogenously synthesized through elongation of long-chain saturated fats or consumed from a handful of foods such as canola oil, peanuts and macadamia nuts. These fats are key components of, and may alter the biologic effects of, ceramides and sphingomyelin, which play roles in insulin resistance, inflammation and liver homeostasis<sup>66</sup>.

Dietary protein. Increased diet ary protein plus strength-training increases muscle mass and s trength more t han s trength-training alone in g enerally healthy, middle-aged and older p opulations67,68. Given the relevance of lean muscle mass for insulin sensitivity, this suggests t hat p rotein co nsumption w ith s trength t raining co uld improve metabolic health. However, studies of dietary protein and satiety, weight control or metabolic health show mixed findings. In meta-analysis o f ra ndomized t rials, in creased p rotein co nsumption had little effect on metabolic risk factors, including adiposity, lipids, blood pressure, inflammation or glucose<sup>69</sup>. And, in a metaanalysis of 21 p rospective co horts in cluding 487,956 p articipants with 38,350 incident cases of T2DM, total protein intake was associated with higher risk of T2DM<sup>70</sup>. When food sources were separately e valuated, a nimal p rotein was a ssociated with hig her r isk, while plant protein was associated with a trend toward lower risk. In interventional studies, high-protein diets induce variable effects on the gut microbiome, again with differences for animal compared to plant sources71. Given the broadly similar amino acid profiles of animal and plant proteins (in deed, the former are typically more complete and bioavailable), the difference in risk suggests effects on T2DM of animal compared to plant foods are unrelated to protein content. This is not unexpected: similar to total dietary fat or carbohydrate, dietary protein is derived from highly diverse food sources with divergent health effects. Based on current evidence, a focus on dietary protein per se appears less relevant than on specific types of foods to encourage or avoid; and the addition of strength training may modify effects.

Red and processed meats. Intakes of red and processed meat are each linked to higher incidence of T2DM, with about double the risk, gram-for-gram, f or p rocessed co mpared t o un processed m eats<sup>72</sup>. Given their otherwise generally similar nutrient profiles, this risk difference implicates harms of preservatives (for example, sodium and nitrites) or other aspects of processing (for example, high-temperature cooking)73-75. For unprocessed red meats, harms may relate to excess haeme iron, a generally underappreciated risk for T2DM based on a nimal experiments, studies of gestational diabetes and genetic di sorders of ir on metabolism<sup>76,77</sup>. In experimental studies, iron generates oxidative stress, impairs pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell and mitochondrial function, and may increase skeletal muscle and adipose tissue insulin resistance77. Both unprocessed red and processed meat intake are also positively associated with long-term weight gain<sup>15,18</sup>. Based on these findings, processed meats should be avoided, while unprocessed red meats should be minimized (for example, up to 1-2 servings per week) to optimize metabolic health. Interestingly, the particular harms of processed meats appear underrecognisedin the United States, for example, consumption of unprocessed red meat has declined by nearly 20% since 2000, while consumption of processed meat remains unchanged<sup>78</sup>.

Dairy foods. W hile d airy foods a re o ften g rouped t ogether, t he health effects of different subtypes (milk, cheese, y ogurt or b utter) a ppear t o va ry<sup>25</sup>. I mplicated co mpounds in clude p robiotics, vitamin  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  (m enoquinones), milk fat globule m embrane (MFGM), specific amino acids, medium-chain triglycerides, odd-chain saturated fa ts, un saturated fats, branched-chain fats, natural t rans-fats, v itamin D a nd c alcium. For example, g rowing evidence supports benefits of probiotics, such as those in y ogurt, fermented milk and certain cheeses, for weight control, glycaemia and perhaps non-alcoholic fatty liver disease79-81. Cheese is also a rich source of menoquinones, produced by bacterial fermentation, which have higher bioavailability and longer half-lives than vitamin K<sub>1</sub>. Through carboxylation of osteocalcin, menoquinones may influence  $\beta$ -cell p roliferation, in sulin exp ression and adi ponectin production<sup>82</sup>. Uniquely found in d airy, MFGM is a fascinating trilayered m embrane t hat n aturally en closes milk t riglyceride g lobules during extrusion from the mammary gland. Rich in bioactive polar lipids (phospholipids and sphingolipids) and proteins, MFGM at usual levels in cheese or cream reduces intestinal absorption of dietary cholesterol, blunts rises in blood LDL-cholesterol and alters gene expression<sup>83-85</sup>, while higher doses of MFGM actually improve blood li pids a nd r educe p ost-prandial in sulin<sup>86-88</sup>. I n co ntrast t o cream or cheese, butter contains very little MFGM, which is discarded as buttermilk during its production.

In short-term randomized trials, consumption of total dairy or milk p roducts in creases le an m uscle m ass a nd r educes b ody fa t, especially in t he s etting o f en ergy-restricted w eight-loss diets 89. Among children, observational studies suggest that dairy consumption associates with lower risk of obesity, with limited and mixed findings by type of dairy<sup>89</sup>. No long-term trials have been performed in children, other than rare multi-component interventions that preclude inference on d airy a lone<sup>90</sup>. A mong ad ults, o bservational relationships between dairy intake and long-term weight and T2DM vary by food type not dairy fat content<sup>15,17,18,91,92</sup>. For example, consumption of yogurt and fermented milk, but not regular reducedfat or whole milk, associates with lower incidence of T2DM; while cheese associates with lower incidence of T2DM in many but not all studies<sup>91-94</sup>. Consistent with this, neither reduced-fat milk nor whole milk appreciably relates to long-term weight gain among adults<sup>15,17,18</sup>; changes in milk fat appear un consciously compensated with carbohydrates long-term<sup>18</sup>. Cheese intake is associated with less longterm weight gain when replacing refined carbohydrates, but with weight gain when accompanied by refined carbohydrates<sup>18</sup>. Yogurt consistently associates with lower long-term weight gain<sup>15,17,18</sup>, even for sugar-sweetened yogurts, although with about half the benefits lost compared with unsweetened yogurt<sup>18</sup>.

**Coffee and tea.** Both coffee and tea are observationally associated with modest improvements in long-term weight maintenance<sup>16</sup> and lower risk of T2DM<sup>95,96</sup>. Emerging studies suggest that phytonutrients, ra ther than caffeine, in t hese b ean, le af and fruit extracts may be most relevant<sup>25</sup>. However, controlled trials have not yet confirmed physiologic effects to acco unt for the m agnitude of t hese associations, with mixed and inconsistent findings for coffee and tea and glycaemia<sup>97-99</sup>. Green and black tea may modestly lower blood pressure<sup>100</sup> and LDL-cholesterol<sup>101,102</sup>, while green tea may improve glycaemia<sup>99</sup>. Mendelian randomization studies of genetic variants linked to coffee intake did n ot find associations with cardiometabolic risk factors or T2DM<sup>103,104</sup>. Overall, observational studies support potential cardiometabolic benefits of coffee and tea, but further research i s n eeded t o co nfirm s uch b enefits a nd co rresponding mechanisms.

Among diet p atterns e valuated a nd ad vocated f or w eight-loss and g lycaemic control, in creasing a ttention i s b eing p aid t o Mediterranean, low-carb, ketogenic and p aleo diets. F or diet p atterns, health effects cannot be attributed to any single food or nutrient, but to the overall pattern.

Mediterranean di ets. In a n etwork m eta-analysis o f 56 ra ndomized trials evaluating popular diet patterns (for example, low-fat, vegetarian, M editerranean, p aleo, lo w-carb, lo w g lycaemic a nd high-protein) in p atients with T2D M, M editerranean, p aleo, a nd vegetarian diets a ppeared most effective to reduce fasting glucose; while lo w-carb, M editerranean a nd p aleo diets a ppeared m ost effective to reduce HbA1c<sup>105</sup>. In subgroup analyses, low-carb diets appeared m ore ef fective in s horter-term s tudies, sm aller s tudies and older in dividuals (age 60 + y ears), while Mediterranean diets appeared more effective in longer-term studies, larger studies and younger ad ults (a ge <60 y ears). For weight loss in p atients with T2DM, a meta-analysis of 20 randomized trials of various popular diets found significant weight loss only with a Mediterranean diet<sup>30</sup>. Most of these trials did not exceed one year, raising questions about long-term effects. The PREDIMED trial supports long-term benefits of a Mediterranean diet; after 5 years, the Mediterranean-type diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts reduced visceral adiposity as well as risks of T2DM and cardiovascular disease, compared with a low-fat diet<sup>36,106,107</sup>.

The health effects of individual foods (Fig. 3), together with the above r esults, p rovide s trong e vidence f or a M editerranean-type diet for long-term weight control and m etabolic h ealth. The k ey characteristics of such a diet p attern are not any specific regional cuisine but an abundance of minimally processed foods and plant oils r ich in p hytochemicals, m oderate f ish and d airy, o ccasional meat, and low intakes of highly processed foods including refined starches, sugars and salt. The specific choices of foods meeting these criteria can be adapted to local availability and culture.

**Low-carb and ketogenic diets.** In trials with equal-intensity dietary interventions, low-carb (high-fat) diets p roduce similar or g reater weight-loss t han lo w-fat (hig h-carb) diets, w ith co rresponding improvements in b lood p ressure, lipids and glycaemic control<sup>42,43</sup>. Meta-analyses further suggest that low-carb diets may be superior to low-fat diets for glycaemic control in patients with T2DM<sup>44,108,109</sup>. Such b enefits o ccur e ven t hough m ost lo w-carb (f or exa mple, Atkins) diets lack calorie guidance or restriction, while low-fat diets include the additional interventions of portion control and calorie-restriction. In one trial comparing ad libitum low-carb versus low-fat diets (t hat is, testing the effects of diet composition alone), the low-carb diet reduced body weight and body fat, while the low-fat diet had small effects on weight and reduced lean muscle mass<sup>110</sup>.

A 'low-carb' focus can be a simple rule to help reduce exposure to ultra-processed foods rich in refined starches and sugars, which likely explains HbA1c reductions<sup>105</sup>. Yet, carbohydrate food sources and other characteristics (that is, processing, food structure, accompanying n utrients, dos e a nd flux) a re a lso r elevant. F or exa mple, both low-carb–high-fat and high-carb–low-fat diets lead to weight loss, without calorie counting, when they emphasize minimally processed, bioactive-rich foods<sup>20,111</sup>. Overall, a Mediterranean-type diet, rich in minimally processed foods and healthy fats, and low in ultraprocessed foods and refined starches and sugars, appears optimal.

Extreme low-carb (that is, ketogenic) diets c an le ad t o m eaningful weight loss and metabolic benefits<sup>112</sup>. However, such diets may be challenging to sustain and do n ot leverage health benefits of fruits, non-starchy vegetables, beans/legumes and minimally processed whole grains. A lso, the specific long-term requirement for ketosis per se (versus simply reducing refined starches and sugars) remains un clear. Extreme low-carb diets may be most useful for initial weight-loss (for example, over 6–12 months), followed by transitions toward slowly incorporating carbs from minimally processed, bioactive-rich foods as tolerated. Potential long-term health effects require further investigation.

**Paleo diets.** Paleo diets aim to conform to foods consumed during human evolution over millennia. Benefits include avoidance of poor quality carbohydrates (refined starches and sugars) and other ultra-processed foods; and positive emphasis on non-starchy vegetables, nuts and fish; which together can produce weight-loss and corresponding metabolic benefits<sup>113</sup>. Yet, some in terpretations of p aleo diets include liberal intakes of red meats (including non-paleo processed meats), lard and salt, as well as avoidance of protective plant oils, legumes and dairy; which may reduce net benefits.

#### Selected emerging areas

Many exciting scientific a reas relating to nutrition and metabolic health are in their relative infancy. In the coming years, rigorous further investigation of such topics will greatly expand our understanding and armamentarium to better address obesity, T2DM and other diet-related disorders. Four of these areas are highlighted below.

**Food processing.** Over the past 70 years, changes in plant and livestock breeding, agricultural practices and food processing methods have transformed the global food supply. The potential health implications of t he n ew p rocessing a nd m anufacturing t echniques a re receiving in creasing a ttention<sup>20,114–117</sup>, with cer tain food classification systems and even national guidelines advocating for avoidance of highly processed foods<sup>118,119</sup>. Processed meats and refined grains, starches a nd s ugars are convincingly linked to metabolic harms<sup>28</sup>. However, n early all foods must undergo some form of processing for h uman consumption—for exa mple, milling, r efining, h eating, cooking, smoking, drying, salting, fermenting or preserving (some exceptions include fruits, nuts, seeds and certain vegetables). Thus, rather than focusing on processing per se, the key issue is to understand w hich a spects of m odern p rocessing a re det rimental a nd define optimal processing of different foods for health.

Processing can increase palatability, nutrient bioavailability, shelf life and convenience, and reduced risk of food-borne p athogens. Processing may also reduce fibre, p henolics, minerals, fatty acids, vitamins and other bioactives; increase the doses and flux of starch and sugar; and introduce compounds such as sodium, other preservatives and additives, trans-fats, heterocyclic amines and advanced glycation en d-products (A GEs). Pathways related t o the micr obiome—including p rebiotics, p robiotics, n on-nutritive sw eeteners, emulsifiers and thickeners—are reviewed in the next section.

Health effects of AGEs represent a p romising but substantially understudied area. AGEs, formed during high-temperature cooking and b rowning, a re exp erimentally im plicated in p athways r elated to c ardiometabolic r isk<sup>73,120</sup>. A f ew sm all s tudies s uggest b enefits of low-AGE diets in s ubjects with overweight, obesity and prediabetes<sup>120</sup>. In the largest trial, among 100 subjects with obesity and metabolic syndrome, a low- versus high-AGE diet for one year significantly reduced body weight, waist circumference, insulin resistance, and biomarkers of oxidative stress and inflammation<sup>121</sup>.

On a verage, m ost hig hly p rocessed f ood p roducts h ave adverse m etabolic ef fects (f or exa mple, SS Bs, r efined g rains a nd cereals, a nd p rocessed m eats), w hile m ost minim ally p rocessed foods are protective (for example, fruits, nuts and seeds) (Fig. 3). On the other hands, certain more 'natural' foods such as eggs, butter and unprocessed red meats do not appear to improve metabolic health, while other more processed products (for example, yogurt, cheese, plant o ils and m argarines, c anned f ish, nut and fruit-rich snacks) a re b eneficial. I n addi tion, w hile n ewer in dustrial p rocessing m ethods have received the m ost m edia and p ublic attention, certain traditional processing methods may also have adverse health ef fects. F or exa mple, t he cen turies-old p ractice o f m aking butter removes MFGM, a potentially beneficial compound<sup>83-88,122,123</sup>. And, a s des cribed a bove, A GEs a re f ormed d uring co oking a nd heating, used by humans for millennia.

Overall, s eeking minim ally p rocessed, p hytochemical-rich foods, and avoiding more processed foods, is a strong general—but not a bsolute r ule—for g ood h ealth. G iven the size, exp ertise a nd reach of the global agriculture and food industry, a m ajor increase in private and public research investment is needed to better define and understand pathways for optimal food processing.

**Gut mi crobiome.** N utritional c hoices ex ert l arge, ra pid ef fects on gu t micr obial co mposition a nd f unction, w ith im plications on host health<sup>124–127</sup>. For example, several protective foods (Fig. 3) have prebiotic or probiotic characteristics. Prebiotics feed the microbiome, such as dietary fibres, fructans (for example, inulin in c hicory root) and other oligosaccharides, resistant starch, and certain phenolics (for example, cocoa-derived flavonols)<sup>46,126</sup>. Probiotics a re li ve b acteria o r y easts t hat fa vourably a lter gu t microbial composition<sup>127</sup>, found in f ermented foods like yogurt; cheddar, cottage, gouda and mozzarella cheeses; and kefir (milk), kimchi (c abbage a nd o ther v egetables), k ombucha (t ea), mi so (soybeans), natto (soybeans), sauerkraut (c abbage) a nd t empeh (soybeans). Trials of probiotic-containing foods and supplements demonstrate b enefits on weight control, glycaemia and p ossibly non-alcoholic fatty liver disease<sup>79–81</sup>.

Conversely, m etabolic h arms of hig hly p rocessed f oods may partly r elate t o ad verse micr obial ef fects. C ommon p rocessing methods (for example, milling and refining) strip away key prebiotics. Even if r econstituted (for example, added bran and fibre), the loss of intact food structure (termed 'acellular nutrition') may alter digestion and absorption in the proximal gut<sup>117</sup> and also deprive the (dominant) di stal gut micr obiome of r elevant p rebiotics<sup>128</sup>. F oods can also be intentionally processed to retain or supplement prebiotic contents.

Food addi tives li ke n on-nutritive sw eeteners, em ulsifiers a nd thickeners may also influence the microbiome<sup>117,128</sup>. In some a nimal models and limited human experiments, artificial sweeteners alter h ost micr obial composition and ad versely influence s atiety, glucose-insulin h omeostasis, c aloric in take a nd w eight ga in<sup>129,130</sup>. Non-nutritive sweeteners may also influence taste preferences and learned b ehaviours, especially a mong children; and trigger digestive t ract sw eet-taste r eceptors t hat influence g lucose a bsorption and in sulin s ecretion<sup>131</sup>. I n a m eta-analysis o f s hort-term t rials, non-nutritive sweeteners significantly reduced postprandial blood glucose at 2 to 3.5 hours, compared with baseline<sup>132</sup>. The long-term implications of such effects, which could induce counter-regulatory hunger or other hormonal responses, are unclear. In one small trial, participants who consumed a drink with non-nutritive sweeteners, compared with a sugar-sweetened drink, ate significantly more one hour later when provided ad li bitum lunch, eliminating (but not overtaking) the initial caloric deficit of the non-nutritive-sweetened drink<sup>133</sup>. Some long-term observational studies find that baseline frequency of diet soda intake associates positively with weight gain and T2DM<sup>134</sup>, but studies of changes in in take (less susceptible to bias and reverse causation) find very small inverse associations<sup>16</sup>. In sum, evidence on harms of artificial sweeteners is mixed, while no long-term studies have assessed the newer, natural non-nutritive and low-calorie sweeteners. Based on the breadth and depth of their use and uncertain long-term effects, the global food sector may be said to have "embarked on a massive, un controlled, and in advertent public health experiment"134. Further research on their effects is urgently needed. For now, these compounds may best be considered a bridge for consumers and the food sector away from added sugars and toward naturally sweet or unsweetened foods, rather than a final destination.

Emulsifiers a nd t hickeners a re u sed t o a lter t he a ppearance, texture or m outhfeel of p rocessed f oods<sup>135</sup>. C ommon em ulsifiers include carrageenan, guar gum, lecithin (s oy, eg g), m ono- and diglycerides, and p olysorbates. F ood t hickeners in clude p roteins (for example, collagen, egg whites and gelatin), starches (for example, cornstarch, potato starch, sago, wheat flour and tapioca), sugar polymers (such as agar and pectin), and vegetable gums (for example, guar and xanthan). In some experimental models, emulsifiers and thickeners influence the gut microbiome, the gut mucosa and related inflammatory pathways<sup>135</sup>. For example, in a mouse model, two common emulsifiers disrupted the gut mucosal barrier, altered microbial composition and increased bacterial translocation, leading to low-grade inf lammation, weight ga in a nd m etabolic sy ndrome<sup>136</sup>. Such effects appear partly mediated by direct effects on microbial composition and pro-inflammatory potential<sup>137</sup>. As with artificial sweeteners, the long-term metabolic effects of emulsifiers and thickeners remain uncertain and controversial.

**Flavonoids.** Flavonoids represent more than 5,000 dif ferent compounds in fruits, nuts, seeds, vegetables, beans and their oils, with wide-ranging molecular and physiologic effects<sup>25</sup>. Oleocanthal is a flavonoid in ext ra-virgin olive oil that causes the common burning sensation at the back of the throat when the oil is directly consumed. The similarity of t his s ensation t o swallowing a c hewed uncoated aspirin is no coincidence: oleocanthal binds the same irritant transient receptor potential A1 channel in the throat as many non-steroidal anti-inflammatory dr ugs<sup>138,139</sup>. Likewise, oleocanthal inhibits cyclooxygenase 1 and 2 i soenzymes throughout the body, with stronger dose-dependent anti-inflammatory effects than ibuprofen a t e quimolar co ncentrations<sup>138,139</sup>. Th us, w hile m etabolic effects of olive oil are often considered only through the lens of its monounsaturated fat content, trace phytonutrients such as oleocanthal are likely also important.

Individual f oods a nd diet p atterns r ich in diet ary f lavonoids and other phytochemicals consistently associate with better weight control and lower risk of T2D  $M^{24,140,141}$ . A nimal and experimental studies demonstrate effects of flavonoids on a number of pathways related t o m etabolic h ealth (Fig. 2). S upplementation with f lavonoids prevents diet-induced weight gain in several animal models<sup>25</sup>, even on calorie-matched diets<sup>142–145</sup>, suggesting possible additional effects on pathways related to energy expenditure, such as in the gut microbiome or brown fat.

Given the diversity of naturally occurring flavonoids identified to date<sup>146</sup>, observed effects on molecular pathways for certain flavonoids are un likely to be generalizable to others. The complexities in f lavonoid bioavailability and metabolism, in cluding effects of micr obiome-produced flavonoid metabolites, which often h ave longer half-lives and achieve higher circulating concentrations<sup>147</sup>, remain to be fully explored. Based on their promise for metabolic health, additional mechanistic, experimental and clinical studies of flavonoids and their metabolites are urgently needed to further elucidate their typology, bioavailability, metabolism and health effects.

**Personalized nutrition.** The investigation of gene–diet interactions for obesity and T2DM has resulted in many findings, but disappointingly sm all ef fect sizes a nd r eproducibility<sup>148,149</sup>. P ersonalization based o n o ther c haracteristics—for exa mple, s ociodemographics, c ultural factors, the microbiome, medical history, physiologic parameters a nd ep igenetics—appears m ore p romising<sup>150–154</sup>. F or example, glycaemic responses to poor quality carbohydrates may be especially detrimental in w omen<sup>155</sup> compared with men. Similarly, patients with T2DM, insulin resistance or atherogenic dyslipidaemia may benefit most from reducing refined carbs and increasing dietary fibre, proteins and plant oils<sup>22,153,154,156,157</sup>. The gut microbiome is also promising for personalization: an individual's gut microbial composition may help predict personalized glycaemic and weight responses to different foods<sup>152,158-161</sup>. This could relate, for example, to differential digestion of dietary fibres by *Bacteroides*, *Prevotella* and other gut species, with corresponding varying production of short-chain fatty acids<sup>161</sup>.

In addition to iden tifying o ptimal foods, p ersonalized n utrition could t heoretically in spire l arger o r m ore s ustained b ehavioural changes compared with more general recommendations. For example, strategies that assess and incorporate a person's cognitive–behavioural s tages, a nd c ultural a nd s ocioeconomic b ackground, m ay increase effectiveness of general behaviour-change strategies<sup>162,163</sup> but limi ted e vidence c urrently s upports t his co ncept f or n utrition behaviours<sup>164</sup>. M oreover, p ersonalized in terventions co uld in crease health di sparities if t hey a re cos tly o r dif ficult t o acces s d ue t o required genomic, metabolomic and other high-dimensional data<sup>150</sup>.

Overall, p ersonalized n utrition r emains a n in teresting co ncept deserving o f g reater in vestigation. H owever, t he m assive, ra pid global shifts in obesity and T2DM across and within populations<sup>165</sup> demonstrate t he do minant influence o f g eneralized en vironmental determinants and the corresponding importance of p opulation approaches t o address these factors. S uch sys tems s trategies c an also r educe h ealth di sparities, co mpared w ith in dividual-based approaches<sup>166,167</sup>.

#### Multisectoral policies and best-buy priorities

Given the core role of nutrition in health, healthcare costs, disparities and s ustainability, multi-sectoral policies for b etter nutrition should be a top priority for governments, businesses, health systems and payers<sup>168–171</sup>. Effective actions span several domains: health systems, economic incentives for consumers and industry, school and workplace environments, government quality standards and labeling, and innovation and entrepreneurship (Table 1)<sup>171–185</sup>.

For most of human history and through the twentieth century 'Green Revolution'<sup>14</sup>, governments aimed to combat the challenge of insufficient calories by promoting production and distribution of staple crops. With the unprecedented recent rise in global diet-related chronic diseases, government policies have largely failed to adapt, emphasizing agricultural production of major commodities and support for large food companies as motivated by traditional trade and economic perspectives. However, the continued double burden o f diet-r elated i llness p lus a n ew s ustainability a genda has begun to shift this dynamic—for example, the majority of the United Nations 2030 S ustainable Development G oals in corporate or are heavily influenced by food and nutrition<sup>186</sup>.

In formulating diet ary policies to address o besity and T2D M, many governments and public health experts have ad apted principles f rom t he W orld H ealth Or ganization 2005 F ramework Convention on Tobacco Control, the first contemporary framework convention with specific public health o bjectives<sup>187</sup>. This in cludes an emphasis on taxation, wa rning labels, marketing restrictions, access constraints and limitations on content levels of harmful compounds. For example, SSB taxes have now passed in s even United States j urisdictions a nd m ultiple n ations, in cluding B arbados, Belgium, Brunei, Chile, Dominica, Ecuador, France, India, Ireland, Kiribati, Mauritius, Mexico, Norway, Peru, the Philippines, Portugal, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Spain (Catalonia), St Helena, St Vincent and the Grenadines, Sri Lanka, Thailand, the United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom and Vanuatu<sup>188</sup>. While such tax policies can be fiscally regressive, they are progressive for improving health disparities. Fiscal regressivity can be further offset by utilizing the tax revenues for subsidies on healthier foods, an approach that has been recommended<sup>189</sup> but not yet implemented by any nation. A diversity of countries have also implemented mandatory or voluntary food front-of-package or other warning labels<sup>190</sup>, including Chile's notorious new 'black box' warning labels<sup>191</sup>. Several nations, including Belgium, Canada (Quebec), Chile, Ireland, Israel, France, Mexico, Sweden, T aiwan a nd t he U nited K ingdom, h ave a lso in stituted

Table 1	Effective multi-sectoral	actions to im	prove nutrition <sup>4</sup>
---------	--------------------------	---------------	------------------------------

Health systems	Economic incentives	Schools	Worksites	Quality and labelling standards	Innovation and entrepreneurship
Electronic health record standards: for example, nutrition vital sign, nutrition annual physical	Taxes on sugary drinks, added sugar and salt	School meals: strong nutrition standards	Procurement standards for worksite cafeterias, snacks and catering	Limits on additives such as trans-fat, salt and sugar	Coordinated government leadership and funding for fundamental and translational research (for example, a new National Institute of Nutrition)
Medical education: nutrition in physician and other provider licensing exams, specialty certifications and continuing education	Retail consumer subsidies or other incentives for protective foods	Competitive foods: strong nutrition standards <sup>b</sup>	Cafeteria built- environment nudges (behavioural economics)	Marketing standards or restrictions, especially relating to children	Public-private partnerships for research and development, for example, on optimal agricultural practices or food processing
Healthy food prescriptions covered by health insurance	Government feeding programs: strong nutritional incentives and/or standards	Free provision of fruits and vegetables	Multicomponent wellness platforms including a strong nutritional focus	Nutrient content labels, front-of-pack icons and restaurant menu labelling	Academic convening of investors and start-ups to facilitate evidence-based, mission oriented innovation
Medically tailored meals for highest-risk patients with complex chronic conditions	Tax incentives (agricultural, retail, manufacturing and restaurant) for development and marketing of healthier foods	School gardens with coordinated educational programming	Employee incentives for purchasing healthier foods at or outside work	Warning labels	Global pooling of research dollars for top nutrition priorities; for example, obesity, T2DM, cancer, brain health, microbiome, phytochemicals, data science and policy translation
Provider quality metrics and payer reimbursement for nutritional evaluation and intervention	Changes in shareholder criteria (for example, B-Corps) and investment vehicles to reward companies for tackling obesity and T2DM	_	Procurement standards for hospital cafeterias and food	Health claims	_

\*Another domain of interest is the built food environment: that is, neighbourhood availability of different food retail stores and restaurants 'food deserts'. The evidence for dietary or health effects of changes in this domain remains surprisingly limited<sup>17-166</sup>, perhaps because the built food environment is at least partly determined by prevailing consumer demand. Actions in the table above may be market-based approaches to increase demand, availability and affordability of healthier foods and, thereby, the presence, type and product inventory of neighbourhood retail stores and restaurants. <sup>b</sup>Foods sold outside of regular school meals, for example, before or after school, in vending machines or at school stores.

restrictions on food marketing to children<sup>192,193</sup>. Countries such as the United States and Mexico constrain access to soda and/or junk food in schools; while Canada, Denmark, Switzerland, Turkey, the United K ingdom and the U nited S tates a im t o limit contents of additives such as trans-fats, sodium or added sugars<sup>194,195</sup>.

This 'tobacco playbook' makes sense for certain food categories (for example, soda and junk foods) and additives (for example, trans fats, sodium and added sugars). However, such policies have much less relevance for increasing the consumption of protective foods. Insufficient intakes of such foods cause at least as much disease as excess in takes of h armful foods and n utrients<sup>2,28</sup>. This c an r epresent an important positive message for the public, policy makers and industry-one that celebrates the power of good nutrition. To increase the availability, affordability and consumption of protective foods, a more nuanced, multi-sectoral set of actions will be required (Table 1). For instance, the Rockefeller Foundation recently outlined a set of priorities toward such goals, including smart investments in value chain infrastructure and efficiency, advances in the use of artificial in telligence and data a nalytics, in creased in vestments in research and innovation, and coordinated efforts for public awareness and inn ovation to in crease dem and for, and desira bility of, protective foods<sup>196</sup>. Given the Rockefeller Foundation's central role in the 'Green Revolution' more than 70 years ago, a highly successful effort that increased global food production and reduced global hunger, this new recognition and focus on protective foods represents a powerful new chapter in the effort to reduce diet-related illness and its consequences.

#### Conclusions

The food sys tem is cr ucial for w ell-being, h ealthcare costs, h ealth disparities and planetary sustainability. While diet inf luences m any diseases, the global pandemics of obesity and T2DM are particularly notable. In less than a century, modern nutrition science has advanced remarkably, highlighting key priorities to address obesity and T2DM. The significant impacts of the food system on health, the economy, equity and the environment, together with mounting public and food-industry recognition of these issues, have created an opportunity for leadership t o cr eate m eaningful a nd l asting s olutions. S uch ef forts must be catalyzed by multi-sectoral policies, with governments playing a special role. This includes an urgent need for greatly expanded food and nutrition discovery and innovation, that is coordinated and mission-oriented toward the health of people and the planet.

Received: 30 July 2019; Accepted: 26 November 2019; Published online: 13 January 2020

#### References

- Bloom, D. et al. ά e Global Economic Burden of Noncommunicable Diseases (World Economic Forum, 2011).
- GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 co untries and territories, 1990–2017: a sys tematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 392, 1923–1994 (2018).
- 3. Wang, D. D. et al. Global improvement in diet ary quality could lead to substantial reduction in premature death. *J. Nutrit.* **149**, 1065–1074 (2019).

- 4. Perez-Escamilla, R. et al. Nutrition disparities and the global burden of malnutrition. *BMJ* **361**, k2252 (2018).
- Bustamante, M. et al. Co-beneats, trade-offs, barriers and policies for greenhouse gas mitigation in the agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU) sector. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20, 3270–3290 (2014).
- 6. Sims, R. E. H. et al. *Energy-smart food for people and climate* (FAO, 2011).
- 7. AQUASTAT (FAO, 2016); http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/water\_use/index.stm
- Kissinger, G., Herold, M. & D e Sy, V. Drivers of Deforestation and Forest Degradation: A Synthesis Report for REDD+ Policymakers (Government of the UK & Government of Norway, 2012).
- NCD-RisC. Worldwide trends in b ody-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a p ooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 mi llion children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet* 390, 2627–2642 (2017).
- NCD-RisC. Worldwide trends in di abetes since 1980: a p ooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. *Lancet* 387, 1513–1530 (2016).
- 11. NCD-RisC. Rising rural body-mass index is the main driver of the global obesity epidemic in ad ults. *Nature* **569**, 260–264 (2019).
- 12. Mozaffarian, D. & Forouhi, N. G. Diet ary guidelines and health-is nutrition science up to the task? *BMJ* **360**, k822 (2018).
- Mozaffarian, D., Rosenberg, I. & U auy, R. History of modern nutrition science-implications for current research, dietary guidelines, and food policy. *BMJ* 361, k2392 (2018).
- 14. Pingali, P. L. Green revolution: impacts, limits, and the path ahead. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 12302–12308 (2012).
- Mozaffarian, D., Hao, T., Rimm, E. B., Willett, W. C. & Hu, F. B. Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 364, 2392–2404 (2011).
- Pan, A. et al. Changes in water and beverage intake and long-term weight changes: results from three prospective cohort studies. *Int. J. Obes.* 37, 1378–1385 (2013).
- 17. Wang, H. et al. Longitudinal association between dairy consumption and changes of body weight and waist circumference: the Framingham Heart Study. *Int. J. Obes.* **38**, 299–305 (2014).
- Smith, J. D. et al. Changes in intake of protein foods, carbohydrate amount and quality, and long-term weight change: results from 3 prospective cohorts. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **101**, 1216–1224 (2015).
- Bertoia, M. L. et al. Changes in in take of fruits and vegetables and weight change in United States men and women followed for up to 24 years: analysis from three prospective cohort studies. *PLoS Med.* 12, e1001878 (2015).
- 20. Hall, K. D. et al. Ultra-processed diets cause excess calorie intake and weight gain: an inpatient randomized controlled trial of ad libitum food intake. *Cell Metab.* **30**, 226 (2019).
- 21. Ebbeling, C. B. et al. Effects of dietary composition on energy expenditure during weight-loss maintenance. *JAMA* **307**, 2627–2634 (2012).
- Ebbeling, C. B. et al. Effects of a low carbohydrate diet on energy expenditure during weight loss maintenance: randomized trial. *BMJ* 363, k4583 (2018).
- Mozaffarian, D. & Wu, J. H. Om ega-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: effects on risk factors, molecular pathways, and clinical events. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 58, 2047–2067 (2011).
- Mozaffarian, D. Dietary and policy priorities for cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and obesity: a comprehensive review. *Circulation* 133, 187–225 (2016).
- Mozaffarian, D. & Wu, J. H. Y. Flavonoids, dairy foods, and cardiovascular and metabolic health: a r eview of emerging biologic pathways. *Circ. Res.* 122, 369–384 (2018).
- Wu, J. H. Y., Micha, R. & Mozaffarian, D. Dietary fats and cardiometabolic disease: mechanisms and effects on risk factors and outcomes. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 16, 581–601 (2019).
- 27. Astrup, A. et al. WHO draft guidelines on dietary saturated and trans fatty acids: time for a new approach? *BMJ* **366**, l4137 (2019).
- Micha, R. et al. Etiologic effects and optimal intakes of foods and nutrients for risk of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes: Systematic reviews and meta-analyses from the Nutrition and Chronic Diseases Expert Group (NutriCoDE). *PLoS ONE* 12, e0175149 (2017).
- Shai, I. et al. Weight loss with a low-carbohydrate, Mediterranean, or low-fat diet. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 359, 229–241 (2008).
- Ajala, O., English, P. & Pinkney, J. Systematic review and meta-analysis of different dietary approaches to the management of type 2 diabetes. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 97, 505–516 (2013).
- Estruch, R. et al. Effect of a high-fat Mediterranean diet on bodyweight and waist circumference: a prespecided secondary outcomes analysis of the PREDIMED randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Diabet. Endocrinol.* 7, 6–17 (2019).

- Cespedes, E. M. et al. Multiple healthful dietary patterns and type 2 diabetes in the women's health initiative. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 183, 622–633 (2016).
- Howard, B. V. et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of cardiovascular disease: the women's health initiative randomized controlled dietary modiàcation trial. *JAMA* 295, 655–666 (2006).
- Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee. Scientific Report of the 2015 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (USDA, 2015).
- Salas-Salvado, J. et al. Prevention of diabetes with Mediterranean diets: a subgroup analysis of a randomized trial. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 160, 1–10 (2014).
- Estruch, R. et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 378, e34 (2018).
- Tindall, A. M., Johnston, E. A., K ris-Etherton, P. M. & Petersen, K. S. The effect of nuts on markers of glycemic control: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 109, 297–314 (2019).
- Huang, H., Chen, G., Liao, D., Zhu, Y. & Xue, X. Effects of berries consumption on cardiovascular risk factors: a meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 23625 (2016).
- Schwingshackl, L. et al. Olive oil in the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies and intervention trials. *Nutr. Diabet.* 7, e262 (2017).
- 40. Livesey, G. et al. Dietary glycemic index and load and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and updated meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies. *Nutrients* **11**, 1280 (2019).
- 41. Ludwig, D. S. Aways Hungry? (Grand Central Life and Style, 2016).
- 42. Hu, T. et al. Effects of low-carbohydrate diets versus low-fat diets on metabolic risk factors: a m eta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **176**, S44–54 (2012).
- Tobias, D. K. et al. Effect of low-fat diet in terventions versus other diet interventions on long-term weight change in ad ults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Diabet. Endocrinol.* 3, 968–979 (2015).
- Huntriss, R., Campbell, M. & B edwell, C. The interpretation and effect of a low-carbohydrate diet in the management of type 2 di abetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 72, 311–325 (2018).
- 45. Vasilaras, T. H., R aben, A. & A strup, A. Twenty-four hour energy expenditure and substrate oxidation before and after 6 m onths' ad li bitum intake of a diet r ich in simple or complex carbohydrates or a h abitual diet. *Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord.* 25, 954–965 (2001).
- Weickert, M. O. & Pfeiffer, A. F. H. Impact of dietary ἀber consumption on insulin resistance and the prevention of type 2 di abetes. J. Nutrition 148, 7–12 (2018).
- Shukla, A. P., Iliescu, R. G., Thomas, C. E. & A ronne, L. J. Food order has a signiàcant impact on postprandial glucose and insulin levels. *Diabetes Care* 38, 98–99 (2015).
- Kim, Y., Keogh, J. B. & Clifton, P. M. Differential effects of red meat/reàned grain diet and dairy/chicken/nuts/whole grain diet on glucose, insulin and triglyceride in a randomized crossover study. *Nutrients* 8, 687 (2016).
- Kasubuchi, M., Hasegawa, S., Hiramatsu, T., Ichimura, A. & Kimura, I. Dietary gut microbial metabolites, short-chain fatty acids, and host metabolic regulation. *Nutrients* 7, 2839–2849 (2015).
- Birt, D. F. et al. Resistant starch: promise for improving human health. *Adv. Nutr.* 4, 587–601 (2013).
- Snelson, M. et al. Metabolic effects of resistant starch type 2: a sys tematic literature review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrients* 11, 1833 (2019).
- 52. Wang, Y. et al. Effects of the resistant starch on glucose, insulin, insulin resistance, and lipid parameters in overweight or obese adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr. Diabetes* **9**, 19 (2019).
- Mozaffarian, R. S. et al. Identifying whole grain foods: a comparison of different approaches for selecting more healthful whole grain products. *Public Health Nutr.* 16, 2255–2264 (2013).
- Ghodsian, B. & M adden, A. M. E valuating the ≤10:1 wholegrain criterion in identifying nutrient quality and health implications of UK b reads and breakfast cereals. *Public Health Nutr.* 21, 1186–1193 (2018).
- Michalski, M. C. et al. Multiscale structures of lipids in foods as parameters affecting fatty acid bioavailability and lipid metabolism. *Prog. Lipid Res.* 52, 354–373 (2013).
- Alhazmi, A., Stojanovski, E., McEvoy, M. & Garg, M. L. M acronutrient intakes and development of type 2 di abetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* 31, 243–258 (2012).
- 57. Imamura, F. et al. Effects of saturated fat, polyunsaturated fat, monounsaturated fat, and carbohydrate on glucose-insulin homeostasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled feeding trials. *PLoS Med.* 13, e1002087 (2016).

- Micha, R. & Mozaffarian, D. Saturated fat and cardiometabolic risk factors, coronary heart disease, stroke, and diabetes: a fresh look at the evidence. *Lipids* 45, 893–905 (2010).
- Wu, J. H. Y. et al. Omega-6 fatty acid biomarkers and incident type 2 diabetes: pooled analysis of individual-level data for 39 740 ad ults from 20 prospective cohort studies. *Lancet Diabet. Endocrinol.* 5, 965–974 (2017).
- 60. Zong, G. et al. Associations between linoleic acid in take and incident type 2 diabetes among U.S. men and women. *Diabetes Care* **42**, 1406–1413 (2019).
- Zhao, J. V. & Schooling, C. M. Effect of linoleic acid on ischemic heart disease and its risk factors: a Mendelian randomization study. *BMC Med.* 17, 61 (2019).
- 62. Imamura, F. et al. Fatty acid biomarkers of dairy fat consumption and incidence of type 2 di abetes: a p ooled analysis of prospective cohort studies. *PLoS Med.* **15**, e1002670 (2018).
- Abbott, K. A., B urrows, T. L., Thota, R. N., A charya, S. & Garg, M. L. D o omega-3 PUFAs affect insulin resistance in a sex-specide manner? a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **104**, 1470–1484 (2016).
- 64. Wu, J. H. et al. Omega-3 fatty acids and incident type 2 di abetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br. J. Nutr.* **107**, S214–227 (2012).
- Fretts, A. M. et al. Associations of circulating very-long-chain saturated fatty acids and incident type 2 di abetes: a p ooled analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **109**, 1216–1223 (2019).
- Lemaitre, R. N. et al. Plasma phospholipid very-long-chain saturated fatty acids and incident diabetes in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 101, 1047–1054 (2015).
- 67. Morton, R. W. et al. A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression of the effect of protein supplementation on resistance training-induced gains in muscle mass and strength in healthy adults. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **52**, 376–384 (2018).
- Liao, C. D. et al. Effects of protein supplementation combined with resistance exercise on body composition and physical function in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 106, 1078–1091 (2017).
- Schwingshackl, L. & H offmann, G. Long-term effects of low-fat diets ei ther low or high in protein on cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr. J.* 12, 48 (2013).
- Ye, J. et al. Dietary protein intake and subsequent risk of type 2 di abetes: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Acta Diabetol.* 56, 851–870 (2019).
- Blachier, F. et al. High-protein diets for weight management: interactions with the intestinal microbiota and consequences for gut health. a position paper by the my new gut study group. *Clin. Nutr.* 38, 1012–1022 (2019).
- Pan, A. et al. Red meat consumption and risk of type 2 di abetes: 3 co horts of US ad ults and an updated meta-analysis. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 94, 1088–1096 (2011).
- 73. Uribarri, J. et al. Advanced glycation end products in foods and a practical guide to their reduction in the diet. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. **110**, 911–916 (2010).
- 74. Micha, R., Michas, G., Lajous, M. & Mozaffarian, D. Processing of meats and cardiovascular risk: time to focus on preservatives. *BMC Med.* **11**, 136 (2013).
- 75. Rohrmann, S. & Linseisen, J. Processed meat: the real villain? *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* **75**, 233–241 (2016).
- 76. Zhao, Z. et al. Body iron stores and heme-iron intake in relation to risk of type 2 di abetes: a sys tematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* 7, e41641 (2012).
- 77. Fernandez-Real, J. M., McClain, D. & Manco, M. Mechanisms linking glucose homeostasis and iron metabolism toward the onset and progression of type 2 di abetes. *Diabetes Care* **38**, 2169–2176 (2015).
- Zeng, L. et al. Trends in processed meat, unprocessed red meat, poultry, and àsh consumption in the United States, 1999–2016. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* 119, 1085–1098 (2019).
- Zhang, Q., Wu, Y. & Fei, X. Effect of probiotics on body weight and body-mass index: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 67, 571–580 (2015).
- Sun, J. & Buys, N. J. Glucose- and glycaemic factor-lowering effects of probiotics on diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomised placebo-controlled trials. *Br. J. Nutr.* 115, 1167–1177 (2016).
- Loman, B. R., Hernandez-Saavedra, D., An, R. & Rector, R. S. Prebiotic and probiotic treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr. Rev.* 76, 822–839 (2018).
- 82. Kanazawa, I. O steocalcin as a hormone regulating glucose metabolism. *World J. Diabetes* **6**, 1345–1354 (2015).
- Rosqvist, F. et al. Potential role of milk fat globule membrane in modulating plasma lipoproteins, gene expression, and cholesterol metabolism in humans: a randomized study. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **102**, 20–30 (2015).
- Hjerpsted, J., Leedo, E. & Tholstrup, T. Cheese intake in large amounts lowers LDL-cholesterol concentrations compared with butter intake of equal fat content. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 94, 1479–1484 (2011).

- Soerensen, K. V., Thorning, T. K., A strup, A., K ristensen, M. & L orenzen, J. K. Effect of dairy calcium from cheese and milk on fecal fat excretion, blood lipids, and appetite in young men. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 99, 984–991 (2014).
- 86. Demmer, E. et al. Addition of a d airy fraction rich in milk fat globule membrane to a high-saturated fat meal reduces the postprandial insulinaemic and inflammatory response in overweight and obese adults. J. Nutr. Sci. 5, e14 (2016).
- 87. Beals, E. et al. Addition of milk fat globule membrane-enriched supplement to a high-fat meal attenuates insulin secretion and induction of soluble epoxide hydrolase gene expression in the postprandial state in overweight and obese subjects. *J. Nutr. Sci.* **8**, e16 (2019).
- Vors, C. et al. Milk polar lipids reduce lipid cardiovascular risk factors in overweight postmenopausal women: towards a gut sphingomyelincholesterol interplay. *Gut* https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2018-318155 (2019).
- Geng, T., Qi, L. & H uang, T. Effects of dairy products consumption on body weight and body composition among adults: an updated meta-analysis of 37 randomized control trials. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 62, 1700410 (2018).
- Nupponen, M. et al. Metabolic syndrome from adolescence to early adulthood: effect of infancy-onset dietary counseling of low saturated fat: the Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project (STRIP). *Circulation* 131, 605–613 (2015).
- Sluijs, I. et al. The amount and type of dairy product intake and incident type 2 di abetes: results from the EPIC-InterAct Study. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 96, 382–390 (2012).
- 92. Chen, M. et al. Dairy consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes: 3 cohorts of US adults and an updated meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* **12**, 215 (2014).
- Ericson, U. et al. Food sources of fat may clarify the inconsistent role of dietary fat intake for incidence of type 2 di abetes. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 101, 1065–1080 (2015).
- 94. Diaz-Lopez, A. et al. Dairy product consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes in a n elderly Spanish Mediterranean population at high cardiovascular risk. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **55**, 349–360 (2015).
- 95. Ding, M., Bhupathiraju, S. N., Chen, M., van Dam, R. M. & Hu, F. B. Caffeinated and decaffeinated coffee consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and a dos e-response meta-analysis. *Diabet. Care* 37, 569–586 (2014).
- Yang, W. S., Wang, W. Y., Fan, W. Y., Deng, Q. & Wang, X. Tea consumption and risk of type 2 di abetes: a dos e-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Br. J. Nutr.* **111**, 1329–1339 (2014).
- Zheng, X. X. et al. Effects of green tea catechins with or without caffeine on glycemic control in ad ults: a m eta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 97, 750–762 (2013).
- Li, Y. et al. Effects of tea or tea extract on metabolic proàles in patients with type 2 di abetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of 10 randomized controlled trials. *Diabet. Metab. Res. Rev.* 32, 2–10 (2015).
- Kondo, Y. et al. Effects of coffee and tea consumption on glucose metabolism: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Nutrients* 11, 48 (2018).
- 100. Liu, G. et al. Effects of tea intake on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br. J. Nutr.* **112**, 1043–1054 (2014).
- 101. Onakpoya, I., Spencer, E., Heneghan, C. & Thompson, M. The effect of green tea on blood pressure and lipid proàle: a sys tematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 24, 823–836 (2014).
- 102. Wang, D., Chen, C., Wang, Y., Liu, J. & Lin, R. Effect of black tea consumption on blood cholesterol: a m eta-analysis of 15 randomized controlled trials. *PLoS ONE* 9, e107711 (2014).
- 103. Nordestgaard, A. T., Thomsen, M. & N ordestgaard, B. G. C offee intake and risk of obesity, metabolic syndrome and type 2 di abetes: a Mendelian randomization study. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 44, 551–565 (2015).
- 104. Kwok, M. K., Leung, G. M. & S chooling, C. M. H abitual coffee consumption and risk of type 2 di abetes, ischemic heart disease, depression and Alzheimer's disease: a Mendelian randomization study. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 36500 (2016).
- 105. Schwingshackl, L., Chaimani, A., Hoffmann, G., Schwedhelm, C. & Boeing, H. A network meta-analysis on the comparative efficacy of different dietary approaches on glycaemic control in patients with type 2 di abetes mellitus. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 33, 157–170 (2018).
- 106. Estruch, R. et al. Effect of a high-fat Mediterranean diet on bodyweight and waist circumference: a prespecided secondary outcomes analysis of the PREDIMED randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 4, 666–676 (2016).
- 107. Salas-Salvado, J. et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 di abetes with the Mediterranean diet: r esults of the PREDIMED-Reus nutrition intervention randomized trial. *Diabet. Care* **34**, 14–19 (2011).
- 108. Kodama, S. et al. Influence of fat and carbohydrate proportions on the metabolic proàle in patients with type 2 di abetes: a m eta-analysis. *Diabet. Care* 32, 959–965 (2009).

- 109. Schwingshackl, L. & H offmann, G. C omparison of the long-term effects of high-fat v. low-fat diet consumption on cardiometabolic risk factors in subjects with abnormal glucose metabolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br. J. Nutr.* **111**, 2047–2058 (2014).
- Bazzano, L. A. et al. Effects of low-carbohydrate and low-fat diets: a randomized trial. Ann. Intern. Med. 161, 309-318 (2014).
- 111. Gardner, C. D. et al. Effect of low-fat vs low-carbohydrate diet on 12-month weight loss in overweight adults and the association with genotype pattern or insulin secretion: the DIETFITS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* **319**, 667–679 (2018).
- 112. Hallberg, S. J. et al. Effectiveness and safety of a novel care model for the management of type 2 di abetes at 1 year: an open-label, non-randomized, controlled study. *Diabetes*  $\dot{\alpha}e$  *r.* **9**, 583–612 (2018).
- Ghaedi, E. et al. Effects of a Paleolithic diet on cardiovascular disease risk factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Adv. Nutr. 10, 634–646 (2019).
- 114. Hoffman, R. & Gerber, M. Food processing and the Mediterranean diet. *Nutrients* 7, 7925–7964 (2015).
- Louzada, M. L. et al. Consumption of ultra-processed foods and obesity in Brazilian adolescents and adults. *Prev. Med.* 81, 9–15 (2015).
- Dobarganes, C. & M arquez-Ruiz, G. Possible adverse effects of frying with vegetable oils. *Br. J. Nutr.* 113, S49–57 (2015).
- 117. Zinocker, M. K. & L indseth, I. A. The Western diet-microbiome-host interaction and its role in metabolic disease. *Nutrients* **10**, 365 (2018).
- Monteiro, C. A., Moubarac, J. C., Cannon, G., Ng, S. W. & Popkin, B. Ultra-processed products are becoming dominant in the global food system. *Obes. Rev.* 14, 21–28 (2013).
- 119. da Silva Oliveira, M. S. & S ilva-Amparo, L. Food-based dietary guidelines: a comparative analysis between the dietary guidelines for the Brazilian population 2006 and 2014. *Public Health Nutr.* 21, 210–217 (2018).
- Luevano-Contreras, C., Gomez-Ojeda, A., Macias-Cervantes, M. H. & Garay-Sevilla, M. E. Diet ary advanced glycation end products and cardiometabolic risk. *Curr. Diabet. Rep.* 17, 63 (2017).
- 121. Vlassara, H. et al. Oral AGE restriction ameliorates insulin resistance in obese in dividuals with the metabolic syndrome: a randomised controlled trial. *Diabetologia* **59**, 2181–2192 (2016).
- Arranz, E. & Corredig, M. Invited review: Milk phospholipid vesicles, their colloidal properties, and potential as delivery vehicles for bioactive molecules. J. Dairy Sci. 100, 4213–4222 (2017).
- Bourlieu, C. et al. Polar lipid composition of bioactive dairy co-products buttermilk and butterserum: emphasis on sphingolipid and ceramide isoforms. *Food Chem.* 240, 67–74 (2018).
- 124. Brunkwall, L. & Or ho-Melander, M. The gut microbiome as a target for prevention and treatment of hyperglycaemia in type 2 di abetes: from current human evidence to future possibilities. *Diabetologia* **60**, 943–951 (2017).
- 125. Canfora, E. E., M eex, R. C. R., Venema, K. & B laak, E. E. G ut microbial metabolites in obesity, NAFLD and T2DM. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 15, 261–273 (2019).
- Davani-Davari, D. et al. Prebiotics: deànition, types, sources, mechanisms, and clinical applications. *Foods* 8, 92 (2019).
- 127. Yoo, J. Y. & K im, S. S. P robiotics and prebiotics: present status and future perspectives on metabolic disorders. *Nutrients* **8**, 173 (2016).
- Reese, A. T. & Carmody, R. N. Thinking outside the cereal box: noncarbohydrate routes for dietary manipulation of the gut microbiota. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 85, e02246-18 (2019).
- 129. Suez, J., Korem, T., Zilberman-Schapira, G., Segal, E. & Elinav, E. Non-caloric artiàcial sweeteners and the microbiome: àn dings and challenges. *Gut Microbes* **6**, 149–155 (2015).
- Pearlman, M., Obert, J. & Casey, L. The association between artiàcial sweeteners and obesity. *Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep.* 19, 64 (2017).
- Pepino, M. Y. Metabolic effects of non-nutritive sweeteners. *Physiol. Behav.* 152, 450–455 (2015).
- 132. Nichol, A. D., Holle, M. J. & An, R. Glycemic impact of non-nutritive sweeteners: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **72**, 796–804 (2018).
- 133. Tey, S. L., Sa lleh, N. B., Henry, J. & Forde, C. G. Effects of aspartame-, monk fruit-, stevia- and sucrose-sweetened beverages on postprandial glucose, insulin and energy intake. *Int. J. Obes.* **41**, 450–457 (2017).
- Ludwig, D. S. A rtiàcially sweetened beverages: cause for concern. JAMA 302, 2477–2478 (2009).
- Halmos, E. P., Mack, A. & G ibson, P. R. Review article: emulsiders in the food supply and implications for gastrointestinal disease. *Aliment Pharmacol.* ἀe r. 49, 41–50 (2019).
- 136. Chassaing, B. et al. Dietary emulsiders impact the mouse gut microbiota promoting colitis and metabolic syndrome. *Nature* **519**, 92–96 (2015).
- 137. Chassaing, B., Van de Wiele, T., De Bodt, J., Marzorati, M. & G ewirtz, A. T. Dietary emulsiders directly alter human microbiota composition and gene expression *ex vivo* potentiating intestinal inflammation. *Gut* 66, 1414–1427 (2017).

- Beauchamp, G. K. et al. Phytochemistry: ibuprofen-like activity in extra-virgin olive oil. *Nature* 437, 45–46 (2005).
- Scotece, M. et al. New drugs from ancient natural foods. Oleocanthal, the natural occurring spicy compound of olive oil: a brief history. *Drug Discov. Today* 20, 406–410 (2015).
- 140. Guo, X., Yang, B., Tan, J., Jiang, J. & Li, D. Associations of dietary intakes of anthocyanins and berry fruits with risk of type 2 di abetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **70**, 1360–1367 (2016).
- 141. Xu, H., Luo, J., Huang, J. & Wen, Q. Flavonoids intake and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Medicine* 97, e0686 (2018).
- 142. Mulvihill, E. E. et al. Naringenin prevents dyslipidemia, apolipoprotein B overproduction, and hyperinsulinemia in LD L receptor-null mice with diet-induced insulin resistance. *Diabetes* **58**, 2198–2210 (2009).
- 143. Hoek-van den Hil, E. F. et al. Quercetin decreases high-fat diet in duced body weight gain and accumulation of hepatic and circulating lipids in mice. *Genes Nutr.* 9, 418 (2014).
- 144. Tsutsumi, R. et al. Sudachitin, a polymethoxylated flavone, improves glucose and lipid metabolism by increasing mitochondrial biogenesis in skeletal muscle. *Nutr. Metab.* **11**, 32 (2014).
- Zhang, X. et al. Dietary luteolin activates browning and thermogenesis in mice through an AMPK/PGC1alpha pathway-mediated mechanism. *Int. J. Obes.* 40, 1841–1849 (2016).
- 146. Kawser Hossain, M. et al. Molecular mechanisms of the anti-obesity and anti-diabetic properties of flavonoids. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **17**, 569 (2016).
- 147. Warner, E. F. et al. Common phenolic metabolites of flavonoids, but not their unmetabolized precursors, reduce the secretion of vascular cellular adhesion molecules by human endothelial cells. J. Nutr. 146, 465–473 (2016).
- 148. Heianza, Y. & Qi, L. Gene-diet interaction and precision nutrition in obesity. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **18**, 787 (2017).
- 149. Ortega, A., Berna, G., Rojas, A., Martin, F. & Soria, B. Gene-diet interactions in type 2 di abetes: the chicken and egg debate. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18, 1188 (2017).
- Ordovas, J. M., Ferguson, L. R., Tai, E. S. & M athers, J. C. Personalised nutrition and health. *BMJ* 361, bmj.k2173 (2018).
- 151. de Toro-Martin, J., Arsenault, B. J., Despres, J. P. & Vohl, M. C. P recision nutrition: a review of personalized nutritional approaches for the prevention and management of metabolic syndrome. *Nutrients* 9, 913 (2017).
- 152. Christensen, L., Roager, H. M., Astrup, A. & Hjorth, M. F. Microbial enterotypes in p ersonalized nutrition and obesity management. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 108, 645–651 (2018).
- 153. Kabisch, S. et al. Fasting glucose state determines metabolic response to supplementation with insoluble cereal àbre: a secondary analysis of the optimal àbre trial (OptiFiT). *Nutrients* 11, 2385 (2019).
- 154. Hjorth, M. F. et al. Pretreatment fasting glucose and insulin as determinants of weight loss on diets varying in m acronutrients and dietary dbers-the POUNDS LOST study. *Nutrients* 11, 586 (2019).
- 155. Mirrahimi, A. et al. Associations of glycemic index and load with coronary heart disease events: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohorts. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **1**, e000752 (2012).
- 156. Dong, J. Y., Zhang, Z. L., Wang, P. Y. & Qin, L. Q. Effects of high-protein diets on body weight, glycaemic control, blood lipids and blood pressure in type 2 di abetes: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br. J. Nutr.* **110**, 781–789 (2013).
- 157. Viana, L. V., Gross, J. L. & Aze vedo, M. J. Dietary intervention in p atients with gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials on maternal and newborn outcomes. *Diabet. Care* 37, 3345–3355 (2014).
- 158. Zeevi, D. et al. Personalized nutrition by prediction of glycemic responses. *Cell* **163**, 1079–1094 (2015).
- Korem, T. et al. Bread affects clinical parameters and induces gut microbiome-associated personal glycemic responses. *Cell Metab.* 25, 1243–1253 (2017).
- Rothschild, D. et al. Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature* 555, 210–215 (2018).
- 161. Hjorth, M. F. et al. Prevotella-to-Bacteroides ratio predicts body weight and fat loss success on 24-week diets varying in macronutrient composition and dietary àber: results from a post-hoc analysis. *Int. J. Obes.* 43, 149–157 (2019).
- 162. Artinian, N. T. et al. Interventions to promote physical activity and dietary lifestyle changes for cardiovascular risk factor reduction in ad ults: a scientiàc statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 122, 406–441 (2010).
- 163. Spring, B. et al. Better population health through behavior change in ad ults: a call to action. *Circulation* **128**, 2169–2176 (2013).
- Celis-Morales, C. et al. Effect of personalized nutrition on health-related behaviour change: evidence from the Food4Me European randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 46, 578–588 (2017).

- Capewell, S. & O'Flaherty, M. R apid mortality falls after risk-factor changes in populations. *Lancet* 378, 752–753 (2011).
- 166. McGill, R. et al. Are interventions to promote healthy eating equally effective for all? Systematic review of socioeconomic inequalities in impact. *BMC Public Health* 15, 457 (2015).
- 167. Guzman-Castillo, M. et al. The contribution of primary prevention medication and dietary change in coronary mortality reduction in England between 2000 and 2007: a m odelling study. *BMJ Open* 5, e006070 (2015).
- 168. Mozaffarian, D. in *Rising Health Care Costs: Drivers, Challenges and* Solutions 8–25 (NAIC & CIP R, 2018).
- Huang, Y. et al. Adoption and design of emerging dietary policies to improve cardiometabolic health in the US. *Curr. Atheroscler. Rep.* 20, 25 (2018).
- 170. Swinburn, B. A. et al. The global syndemic of obesity, undernutrition, and climate change: the Lancet commission report. *Lancet* **393**, 791-846 (2019).
- 171. Mozaffarian, D. et al. Population approaches to improve diet, physical activity, and smoking habits: a s cientiác statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **126**, 1514–1563 (2012).
- 172. Li, F. et al. Built environment and 1-year change in weight and waist circumference in middle-aged and older adults: Portland Neighborhood Environment and Health Study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 169, 401–408 (2009).
- 173. Powell, L. M. & B ao, Y. Food prices, access to food outlets and child weight. *Econ. Hum. Biol.* **7**, 64–72 (2009).
- 174. Boone-Heinonen, J. et al. Fast food restaurants and food stores: longitudinal associations with diet in y oung to middle-aged adults: the CARDIA study. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **171**, 1162–1170 (2011).
- 175. Block, J. P., Christakis, N. A., O'Malley, A. J. & Subramanian, S. V. Proximity to food establishments and body mass index in the Framingham Heart Study offspring cohort over 30 years. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **174**, 1108–1114 (2011).
- Gibson, D. M. The neighborhood food environment and adult weight status: estimates from longitudinal data. *Am. J. Public Health* 101, 71–78 (2011).
- 177. Shier, V., An, R. & Sturm, R. Is there a robust relationship between neighbourhood food environment and childhood obesity in the USA? *Public Health* **126**, 723–730 (2012).
- Wang, R. & Shi, L. A ccess to food outlets and children's nutritional intake in urban China: a difference-in-difference analysis. *It. J. Pediatrics* 38, 30 (2012).
- 179. Boone-Heinonen, J. et al. The neighborhood energy balance equation: does neighborhood food retail environment + physical activity environment = obesity? The CARDIA study. *PLoS ONE* 8, e85141 (2013).
- Smith, D., Cummins, S., Clark, C. & Stansfeld, S. Does the local food environment around schools affect diet? Longitudinal associations in adolescents attending secondary schools in E ast London. *BMC Public Health* 13, 700 (2013).
- 181. Cummins, S., Flint, E. & M atthews, S. A. N ew neighborhood grocery store increased awareness of food access but did n ot alter dietary habits or obesity. *Health Affairs (Project Hope)* 33, 283–291 (2014).
- 182. Elbel, B. et al. Assessment of a government-subsidized supermarket in a high-need area on household food availability and children's dietary intakes. *Public Health Nutr.* 18, 2881–2890 (2015).

- 183. Dubowitz, T. et al. Diet and perceptions change with supermarket introduction in a food desert, but not because of supermarket use. *Health Affairs (Project Hope)* 34, 1858–1868 (2015).
- 184. Ghosh-Dastidar, M. et al. Does opening a supermarket in a f ood desert change the food environment? *Health Place* 46, 249–256 (2017).
- Haspel, T. Food deserts don't cause obesity. But that doesn't mean they don't matter. Washington Post (August 2018).
- 186. Sustainable Development Goals: 17 Goals to Transform our World (UN, 2015).
- 187. WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (WHO, 2019).
   188. Silver, L. Sugary drink taxes the new normal. World Cancer Research Fund International (June 2018).
- Mozaffarian, D., Rogoff, K. S. & L udwig, D. S. The real cost of food: can taxes and subsidies improve public health? *JAMA* 312, 889–890 (2014).
- Pomeranz, J., Mozaffarian, D. & M icha, R. Mandating front-of-package food labels in the U. S. – W hat are the First Amendment obstacles? *Food Policy* 86, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2019.05.005 (2019).
- 191. Jacobs, A. In sweeping war on obesity, Chile slays Tony the tiger. *New York Times* (February 2018).
- Food Directions LLC. Restrictions of Food Marketing and Advertisements Aimed at Children https://fooddirectionsllc.com/2017/02/06/restrictions-offood-marketing-and-advertisements-aimed-at-children/ (2017).
- Association of National Advertisers. 
   *à* ree New International Food Advertising Restrictions https://www.ana.net/content/show/id/42549 (2019).
- 194. USFDA. Trans Fat https://www.fda.gov/food/food-additives-petitions/ trans-fat (2018).
- Hyseni, L. et al. Systematic review of dietary salt reduction policies: Evidence for an effectiveness hierarchy? *PLoS ONE* 12, e0177535 (2017).
- Flor, R. Focusing on "Protective Foods" to Reduce the Global Burden of Disease. *Rockefeller Foundation* (April 2019).

#### Acknowledgements

D.M. acknowledges support from the National Health, Lung, and Blood Institute (grant no. R01 HL115189), National Institutes of Health. D.M. also acknowledges research funding from the National Institutes of Health and the Gates Foundation; personal fees from GOED, Nutrition Impact, Pollock Communications, Bunge, Indigo Agriculture, Amarin, Acasti Pharma, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, America's Test Kitchen, and Danone; scientific advisory board, Brightseed, DayTwo, Elysium Health and Filtricine; and chapter royalties from UpToDate—all outside the submitted work.

#### Competing interests

Tufts University holds patents US8889739 and US9987243 (unlicensed), listing D.M. as a co-inventor, for use of trans-palmitoleic acid to prevent and treat insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes and related conditions, as well as reduce metabolic risk factors.

#### Additional information

Correspondence should be addressed to D.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© Springer Nature Limited 2020



